

Marks, Diane D.
Page 1 of 1

Marquis, Louise
Page 1 of 3

Tom Grim
DOE, NNSA, L-293
7000 East Ave.
Livermore, CA 94550

PO Box 95
Bass Lake, CA 93604
April 27, 2004

Dear Mr. Grim:

Yes, it is a grim scenario that the DOE and the current administration projects for its citizens: more nuclear weapons. Of course, to preserve the environment and all living things in it -- and peace -- what needs to happen is the total abolition of nuclear power.

1/02.01 Why does this administration appear so blind to the dangers of nuclear power? Even if they are scientifically ignorant, at least they are human beings with children and grandchildren, and it should be expected that all of them would want to leave this earth a safer, cleaner place for the coming generations. Do they wear blinders about nuclear power and weapons simply because they want to provide endless work and big bucks for their military-industrial cronies? This would be immoral, of course.

2/37.01 More specifically, it is particularly unacceptable to plan for a huge increase in producing plutonium pits leading to 150-900 bomb cores annually. What can the USA possibly want with this overkill stock of bombs? Even if some of the current administration have imperialistic and dictatorial ideas about controlling the entire world, to have so many nuclear bombs is clearly megalomania. This also is immoral -- as well as deluded.

3/07.01 As far as Livermore Labs, it is really too bad that you brilliant employees there cannot find it in yourselves to simply refuse to build nuclear weapons any longer. It's your kids too who will have to face the environmental and life-threatening nightmare. I know some of you have quit in disgust, and those folks feel better about themselves because of no longer participating in lab-devised plans for mass murder. I encourage all of you to promote the conversion of the lab's work to peaceful and pro-environment types of scientific work -- a different path to ensuring yourselves continued employment.

2/37.01 Please include these complaints and suggestions in the public input comments on the draft EIS. Thank you.

- cont.
1. Nuclear weapons are immoral.
 2. An overkill stock of bombs from an increased production of plutonium pits is megalomaniac.
 3. The Livermore Labs projects and work (ends and means) can be converted to peaceful uses; start now.

3/07.01 cont.

Sincerely yours,
Diane D. Marks
Diane D. Marks

4-25-04

Dear Mr. Grim,

1/04.01

I object to the Dept. of Energy's recently released SWEIS (Site-Wide Environmental Impact Statement) for Livermore Labs planned operations for the next ten years.

2/33.01

I am outraged that the SWEIS calls for doubling the amount of plutonium allowed for Livermore Labs from 1540 pounds to 3300 pounds. One microscopic particle of plutonium, if inhaled, can cause lung cancer. Just a few pounds of plutonium can make more than 300 nuclear bombs.

3/37.01

In addition, the SWEIS

Marquis, Louise
Page 2 of 3

3/37.01
cont.

plan makes Livermore Labs the primary test site for new technologies for manufacturing "plutonium pits" for nuclear weapons. A "plutonium pit" is a soft-ball sized piece of plutonium that sits inside a nuclear weapon and triggers thermonuclear explosion. This is a threat to the health + safety of all of us living in the Bay Area, as well as a horrible danger to the world due to the creation of many additional nuclear weapons.

4/39.01

Also, the ~~SW~~ SWEIS calls for Livermore Labs to be the center of development of a new round of underground

Marquis, Louise
Page 3 of 3

4/39.01
cont.

nuclear testing. This is a danger to health as well as to world peace.

Please oppose this terribly misguided and dangerous SWEIS.


Sincerely,

Louise Marquis

Miss Louise Marquis
P.O. Box 2283
Berkeley, CA 94702

Martin, John W.
Page 1 of 1

01045
RECEIVED MAY 25 2004


John W. Martin
3575 Acapulco Trail
Thousand Palms, Ca 92276

May 19, 2004

Mr. Tom Grim
DOE
NSA L-293
7000 East Ave.
Livermore, CA 94550


Re: My comments on six dangerous new programs being proposed at Livermore Lab

Dear Mr. Grim:

Please consider this letter as my comments on the environmental and proliferation risks from proposed nuclear weapons development and new plutonium and tritium programs at the U.S. Department of Energy's (DOE) Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (LLNL). The DOE has prepared a draft Site Wide Environmental Impact Statement (SWEIS) that proposes to ramp up nuclear weapons activities at the Livermore Lab in Northern California.

Livermore Lab is working on the design of a new, high-yield nuclear bunker-buster, called the "Robust Nuclear Earth Penetrator," and I oppose its development. Additionally, I oppose the development of so-called "mini-nukes" and other new nuclear weapons concepts being researched at Livermore Lab including: 1) Storage of More Nuclear Materials. 2) Plutonium Atomic Vapor Laser Isotope Separation (AVLIS). 3) Dangerous New Experiments in the National Ignition Facility Mega-Laser. 4) New Technologies for Producing Plutonium Bomb Cores. 5) Enhancing Readiness to Resume Full-Scale Nuclear Tests. 6) Mixing Bugs and Bombs.



I believe the DOE plan to introduce new weapons programs into LLNL will accelerate a new arms race and escalate the nuclear danger. Further, the DOE proposal to double LLNL's plutonium storage limit and triple the amount held "at risk" increases the environmental threat LLNL poses to the people of California. The SWEIS propels Livermore Lab in exactly the wrong direction. Instead of proposing new weapons projects, DOE should develop the peaceful, non-military scientific capabilities at Livermore Lab.

Sincerely,

John W. Martin

1/04.01

Maybury, John
Page 1 of 1

FROM : DAVIDSON DIRECT/GOOFBUSTER FRX NO. : 6583558163 May, 15 2004 09:49PM P1

 **Draft Site-wide Environmental Impact Statement
for Continued Operation of Lawrence Livermore
National Laboratory and Supplemental Stockpile
Stewardship and Management Programmatic
Environmental Impact Statement
U.S. Department of Energy
National Nuclear Security Administration** 

5/15/04

Written Comment Form
Must be received on or before May 27, 2004.

To Tom Grim

1/33.01 I am concerned about
plans to increase
plutonium at the labs.
2/08.01 As a resident of the
Bay Area, I would like
to see plutonium way
out in the desert and
3/07.01 research only for
peaceful uses, not
weapons. Thank you.

John Maybury
1302 Rosita Road
Pacific CA 94044

Please use other side if more space is needed.

Comment forms may be mailed to:
Mr. Tom Grim
Document Manager
National Nuclear Security Administration
Livermore Site Office, L-293
7000 East Avenue
Livermore, CA 94550-9234

Comment forms may be faxed to:
Mr. Tom Grim
(925) 422-1776

McCauley, Jacqueline
Page 1 of 1

Sincerely,
Kay McCann

C-116 Center

Dear DOE:

Here is my comment on the draft Site-Wide Environmental Impact Statement on Livermore Lab operations over the next ten years. The SWEIS calls for major increases in nuclear weapons design and manufacture. New plutonium activities include: raising the inventory from 1,540 pounds to 3,300 pounds; tripling the amount "at risk" at one time, creating prototype bomb cores for a new "Modern Pit Facility," fissioning plutonium in the NIF mega-laser; and, vaporizing plutonium oxide on-site to separate isotopes. The SWEIS also reveals plans to increase the "at-risk" limit for radioactive tritium 10-fold.

I oppose these actions in the SWEIS that will increase nuclear proliferation and damage our environment. I call on you to analyze conversion of the Lab to peaceful uses.

Ms. Jacqueline McCausley
15063 Eschelon Dr.
La Mirada, CA 90638

Signed: _____

Date: _____

DO NOT WRITE ABOVE THIS LINE OR IN THE MARGINS

RECEIVED
GRAM

To:
RECEIVED MAY 10 2000

Mr. Tom Grim
DOE, NNSS, L-293
7000 East Avenue
Livermore, CA 94550

1/01.01
2/04.01
3/07.01
4/02.01

McFadden, Ray
Page 1 of 1

Ray McFadden
527 29th St
San Francisco, CA 94131

May 25, 2004

Mr. Tom Grim
DOE, NNSA L-293
7000 East Ave.
Livermore, CA 94550

Dear Mr. Grim:

Regarding the risks from proposed nuclear weapons development at Lawrence Livermore Labs.

- 1/02.01 | I strongly oppose the proposed development of the mini-nukes and new nuclear bunker-buster at Livermore Labs.
- 2/04.01 | We need less plutonium at Livermore Labs, not more. It is insane to increase the radioactive capacity of this facility so close to major population centers and seismic faults. I fully support the advocacy of Tri-Valley CAREs and the California Peace Action group against Livermore Labs. I am particularly concerned about the discussion to research
- 3/35.01 | biologic and nuclear weaponry in our community. This needs to stop. Please re-focus the incredible talent of that facility on programs that would reduce our reliance on non-renewable energy and on the critical issues
- 4/07.01 | facing our children such as global warming, sustainable energy and clean water supplies.

Sincerely,

Ray McFadden

McGee, Claire
Page 1 of 1

Gentlemen:

You are no doubt getting many copies of a letter, against ramping up nuclear activities at Livermore Lab.

I will not reprint it here. I agree with it, and urge you to stop the insanity.

1/04.01

If a car is headed for a cliff, what is needed is to put on the brakes and turn around and get away from the cliff. We need to stop adding to nuclear weapons now, before it is too late. We are headed over the cliff.

Thank you for your consideration.

Claire McGee
2236 SE Brooklyn
Portland OR 97202
503 901-1450

McGovern, Shirley
Page 1 of 1

-----Original Message-----

From: nookno@webtv.net [mailto:nookno@webtv.net]

Sent: Tuesday, April 27, 2004 9:20 AM

To: tom.grim@oak.doe.gov

Subject: Lawrence Livermore EIR plan

Dear Sir:

The proposed plans for Livermore Lab are totally unacceptable. Not only do I firmly believe that there is no over-riding reason in this world today for us to plan further technology for weapons of mass destruction, but also the real potential for a disaster of our own making on our own people.

1/04.01

While I abhor the world terrorist organizations and their total disregard for life, I sense that we are becoming like them. Will our government, in the guise of national security become the terrorists we so fear?

This plan must not go forward.

Shirley McGovern
947 Avd Carmel, #A
Laguna Woods, CA 92653

McNulty, Robin
Page 1 of 1

4-21-04

Mr. Grim,

1/37.01

2/39.01

I am writing to share my
opposition to weapons manufacturing/
testing in my area. Please stop
your planned operations for the
next ten years. I urge you to
stop the manufacturing of
weapons of mass destruction.

Sincerely,

Robin McNulty,
Palo Alto, CA